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Abstract. Renaissance knowledge was not composed of disparate, specialist disciplines. In particular, medicine and religion were strongly interconnected, and in times of intellectual crisis, the turmoil occurring within one field could affect the other. Considering this, it is worth examining the intersection between the scientific and the religious, choosing Italian physicians as the primary characters of study. This paper considers the religious and scientific paths of three sixteenth-century heretical physicians who spent their lives in the Veneto and/or, during their religious exiles, in Basel. Taking into consideration these case-studies, I will discuss the extent to which “outsider” physicians could contribute to the rise of new conceptions of science and religious discourse.
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Introduction

One day in May of 1567, a gondola was heading to San Giorgio with five men on board: a physician, a merchant, a patrician, a jurist and a musician. When they arrived at the island, they hid in the shade of a vegetable garden and the physician, named Teofilo Panarelli, read for the others the psalms, explaining and commenting on them. The group gathered again the following day, and then, again, the day after. In one year’s time, all the members were caught by the Inquisition, but only the physician was executed in Rome on February 23, 1572.1 What had led him to take on the part of the leader of a heretical clique, becoming an outsider in a city which grounded its own identity in the worship of S. Mark? 2 Why did Panarelli live clandestinely, risking his own life, for the sake of reading and discussing religious texts? And to what extent did his medical profession influence his religious choices?

The experience of Teofilo Panarelli as a heretical physician, which I will discuss further in the third section of this paper, was far from exceptional in sixteenth-century Italy. However, the Italian Reformation and the history of medicine in the age of Vesalius, despite having both been extensively researched, have been rarely studied together.3 The specific link between medicine and heresy in sixteenth-century Italy,
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although pointed out in some studies\textsuperscript{4} and tackled in a pioneering article\textsuperscript{5} by Richard Palmer in 1993, has only recently started to be examined in its own right.\textsuperscript{6} My work goes precisely in this direction. Moving from the premise that early modern society conceived of intellectual activity as a fluid combination of physical, metaphysical and religious approaches to reality, and given that, during the age of humanism, disciplines of knowledge were deeply interrelated, I aim to reconstruct, from a specific angle, one particular side of this interrelation.

In this article, I adopt a prosopographical method and I present three case-studies, offering an account of the nexus between religious heterodoxy and eclectic intellectual pursuits among a group of doctors who trained in Padua and ended up either in Venice or in the reformed community of Basel. I rely on varied historical sources – such as Inquisition trials, private correspondences, last wills, religious and scientific books. And I combine different approaches and historiographical traditions: the history of the Italian Reformation and the history of humanistic medicine with (in particular in the third case-study) a micro-historical methodology and a historical understanding of networks, whose results are illustrated thanks to digital humanities tools.\textsuperscript{7}

The choice of this mixed approach is determined by the complex nature of the Italian reformed movement. Due to the specific political conditions of the Peninsula, the movement struggled to become a mass phenomenon and ultimately failed in its pursuit of popular adoption. Mostly confined to urban and literate social groups (like artisans, professionals, merchants, patricians), and weakened by the repressive action of the Inquisition (especially after that the “intransigent party” took over within the curia, in the late 1540s - early 1550s), the so-called “Italian Reformation” was doomed to failure.\textsuperscript{8} As a result, its supporters could not but embody a dissenting minority of outsiders from the hegemonic Catholic religious community. It hardly took long before these outsiders became losers as the authorities quashed the cause for which they were fighting. However, I think that precisely because of the marginal position they were forced to take, these people strengthened the ties among each other, shaping a web of religious non-conformists who shared ideas, practices, places and books. In particular, some professional categories, like physicians, offer exceptionally meaningful case-studies. As I shall show, the very epistemological, methodological and social characteristics of their job led them to play a starring role in the Italian Protestant movement. Physicians worked at universities, royal courts, in cities, or in small villages. And from these positions, they contributed to the growth of what I call a “network of learned dissent”.\textsuperscript{9} Investigating specific figures within this network allows one to put early modern Italian medicine in context, revealing environments, practices, and patterns of connection that related these humanistic heretical physicians to one another. This in turn helps one to better understand the nature of the link between religious non-conformism and the rise of modern medicine.

The physicians in these case-studies all share Calvinist leanings, which makes them particularly salient since Calvinism was widespread among Italian reformed-minded people, and Geneva attracted many religious exiles from the Peninsula. However, despite sharing the same doctrinal and medical-philosophical backgrounds,
these physicians developed the link between medicine and heresy in unique ways. In the next pages, I shall first examine the case of a medical doctor from Vicenza, Girolamo Massari, who migrated to Switzerland and advocated freedom of thought through a pamphlet written in opposition to the Inquisition. Then, I will focus on Guglielmo Grataroli from Bergamo, who had a passion for alchemy and was a champion of Calvinist theology. And finally, I will focus on the physician based in Venice, Teofilo Panarelli, whose case illustrates an intermingling between cultural research and theological enquiry in an age in which Italy was simultaneously the torch-bearer for medical reform and the homeland of the Catholic Counter-Reformation.

Girolamo Massari: between medicine and theology

It was rather common for clergymen and former clergymen to embrace medicine after they renounced their vows. This was due to the intimate relationship between the body and the soul, and to the medieval conception which had assigned to clergymen the task of healing the sick. This phenomenon went hand in hand with another significant aspect of the relationship between medicine and heresy: the interest in medical, anatomical and alchemical subjects among exponents of the Italian Protestant movement who used to be, or still were, part of the Catholic hierarchy. Girolamo Massari, the first case-study in this paper, shifted from the therapeutic to the clerical profession, and then he went back to medicine. The general osmosis between his healing activity and his reflection upon the divine mysteries lasted from the first phase of his intellectual activity to the end of his life.

Massari was born in Arzignano, near Vicenza, between 1480 and 1485. He studied medicine at Padua, like his father Domenico, and between 1508 and 1515 he worked in Arzignano and Brogliano. Sources about the first period of his life are scarce. We know that in 1544 he was an Augustinian canon regular in the San Pietro cloister in Cremona, under the name of Giovanni Antonio from Vicenza. As reformed ideas spread out in the Vicentine area, Massari joined a Calvinist clique whose members, according to Achille Olivieri, used to “read medical texts” along with the Holy Writ. Under the influence of his Paduan education, he cultivated a rationalistic approach to theology along with his comrades in faith, Antonio Francesco Pigafetta (whose house was the gathering place of the group and who was to graduate in medicine in 1561) and Giulio Martinengo. As a result, Massari left the priesthood in 1550, and by 1551 he moved to Switzerland to practice medicine. As we shall see, during his religious exile he continued taking part in the theological debate.

In particular, in 1553 he published in Basel his most important work, *Eusebius captivus, sive modus procedendi in curia romana*, a fierce pamphlet against the Roman Inquisition inspired by the thought of Erasmus von Rotterdam, by which Massari aimed to oppose any form of religious intolerance. This work is a precious resource for exploring the perception that an Italian Calvinist physician had of his intellectual and ethical tasks in the mid-1550s.

According to Massari, physicians had to deal with the spiritual as much as with the physical *sana* of their patients. Not only were they allowed to take part in the theological debate, they were actually supposed to do so. In the last part of the dedication to the Berna rectors which opens the pamphlet, Massari explains the
reasons that led him to write a theological work. Considering medicine and religion as two sides of the same coin, he asserts that doctors, while examining the functioning of the body, can also reveal the “celestial medicine for the soul.” Looking for “the truth of things,” they are able to show the way to eternal salvation. This conception is supported by Galen. Since the good physician is also a philosopher, and the purpose of philosophy is to seek the truth, those who are concerned with the health of the body are also able to administer the “divine remedy.”

Massari took this undertaking literally. When he arrived in Basel in 1551, then one of the liveliest cities in terms of cultural and religious debate, he immediately became involved in the intellectual life of the city. He matriculated at the University and was the guest of Conrad Gesner, who welcomed him in his house and put him in touch with the Padua physicians Gabriele Falloppia and Melchiorre Guilandino. He collaborated with Gesner in the preparation of the *Bibliotheca instituta* and Gesner remained his most important patron for the years to come, during which Massari lived in Berna, Zurich and Strasbourg (where he died in 1564). During his religious exile, Massari remained active in both the medical field and in doctrinal propaganda activity. From Strasbourg he sent to Venice some of the Bibles that had been printed in Geneva or Lyon. While in 1564, he published a commentary to Hippocrates’ treatise *De natura hominis* (which was inserted in the Spanish Index of prohibited books in 1640). He also dealt with two linguistic works, which he never ultimately published: *Hebrae linguae grammatica, quam tamen non edit in publicum, eiusdem germanicae linguae grammatica luculenta* and *Linguae sanctae grammatica absolutissima, ex praecipuis eiusdem linguae scriptoribus collecta ac in quinque libris pulcherrimo ordine digesta.* These texts show his interest in “the philological history of the languages of the Holy Writ,” a passion he shared with such humanists as Lorenzo Valla and Miguel Servet. And it is his reflection upon the thought and biography of Servet that makes Massari’s case particularly significant.

The Spanish physician, anatomist and anti-trinitarian theologian Miguel Servet is well-known for having discovered the “small circulation” of blood. He achieved this result while trying to understand how the Holy Spirit was inhaled by man and could vivify man’s body – an excellent illustration of the intermingling between medicine and theology. While Massari was in Basel, he introduced the work of Servet to the community of Italian exiles there. This was a rather close group, radiating from the humanistic circles of the cities and mostly gathered around the printing house of Pietro Perna (by whom Massari had received the book of Servet in the first place). In 1552, the group was joined by Guglielmo Grataroli, a Calvinist physician from Bergamo who had just escaped from religious persecution in Italy. Massari soon gave him *De trinitatis erroribus*, which suggests that the book worked as a unifying force among the Italian members of the Basel network. In the following years this involvement in the distribution of Servet’s books made Massari suspected of being an anti-trinitarian. And it was precisely Grataroli who accused him of being a heretic, a
libertine and a radical. Nevertheless, Massari mobilized the protection of his influential patrons, such as Conrad Gesner and Bonifacio Amerbach, and circumvented the allegations of anti-trinitarianism. For the whole of 1552 he continued a scholarly dialogue with Grataroli about the Trinity.

Everything changed after Calvin sentenced Servet to death and execution in 1553, in what was considered the New Jerusalem: Geneva. Massari aligned with the group of Italians in Basel who advocated tolerance and freedom of thought—especially Sebastian Castellio and Celio Secondo Curione—while Grataroli strongly opposed them.

It is no coincidence that Massari's most important work, his *Eusebius captivus*, was published precisely in 1553. The book speaks about an imaginary Inquisition trial held against a heretic named Eusebio Uranio. During the three-day trial, Uranio expresses his religious views and stands up against the Inquisition, which, through the intervention of Pope Giulio III himself, eventually sentences him to death. While the main target of *Eusebius captivus* was certainly the Italian Sant’Uffizio, we can agree with Micaela Valente when she suggests that, with this publication, Massari wanted to tackle a broader topic: the necessity of rejecting religious intolerance.

Although the book was conceived and written mainly before the Servet affair, its dedication dates ten days after Servet was burnt at the stake. Moreover, Valente’s hypothesis is strengthened by the fact that the publisher of *Eusebius captivus* was Pietro Perna, an Italian exile whose printing house in Basel was at the core of the network of radical Italians who stood up for religious freedom. A final clue in this direction is the fact that a copy of *Eusebius captivus* was found in the personal library of Matteo Gribaldi Moffa, one of the most active agents in the circulation of Servet's works.

Massari therefore extended his polemic against the Inquisition to a larger horizon of intolerance that also included Calvinist Geneva. He advocated religious peace, and deliberately disapproved of any form of violence and oppression in the religious sphere. Eusebius claimed that in the Holy Writ one could not find a paragraph supporting the idea that killing the heretics is good and necessary. The real Church had to use no weapon, unless it was the “spiritualem gladium.” The Church was supposed to “vivify” rather than murder.

Massari’s disagreement with Calvinist intolerance and excessive strictness can also be detected in the way Massari questioned and reinterpreted the doctrine of predestination, which lies at the core of Calvinist theology. Massari's idea of predestination differed from the rigid, and even dreadful, conception which was part of the Genevan orthodoxy. While in the first phase of history before the coming of Christ, the severe righteousness of God had prevailed, in the second phase of history, through “God’s contact with mankind,” divine mercy and clemency finally took over. Praying for God's benevolence, the believers were freed from the weight of being unaware of their afterlife destiny. They were “electi in Christo,” justified and glorified. The concept of God's unfathomable *pre-scientia*, which implied either salvation or eternal damnation for the believers regardless of their faith and actions, lost its bitterness and sharpness. Predestination became sweet and inclusive. Massari's “Diis medicus,” was able to “heal the dry and hard hearts of men,” provided that men sincerely believed in Jesus Christ's sacrifice.
This work fit very well in the context of the mid-1550s Basel in which Massari was involved. Erasmus’ *Praise of Folly* circulated within Conrad Gesner’s cultural circles, and it is no coincidence that the whole of *Eusebius captivus* is pervaded by the influence of Erasmus, whose ideal Church was grounded in *patientia* and *tolerantia*. Moreover, Massari released his work a few months before Sebastian Castellio published the most famous sixteenth-century polemic against religious violence: *De haereticis an sint persequendi* (Basel, 1554). Because of Massari’s continual combining of Protestant propaganda with pleas for religious peace, *Eusebius captivus* could be considered one of the first texts dealing with the concept of tolerance in the Confessional age. The truth that the physician-philosopher had to look for and divulge was also a plea for religious harmony.

**The *pius medicus* Guglielmo Grataroli**

Let us now move to the case of the medical doctor from Bergamo, Guglielmo Grataroli (1516-1568), whom we already met in the previous sections. A staunch Calvinist, described by the Inquisitors as “a plague on the faith wherever he went” he studied at Padua and graduated from the College of physicians in Venice in 1539. Soon afterwards, he settled down in Bergamo, where he joined the local College of physicians. In the same period, he began to overtly blame the Roman Church, to deny the real presence of the body of Christ in the Eucharist, and to take advantage of his professional position in order to distribute heretical books in a Catholic nunnery. The Inquisition charged him with heresy in 1544, and he was forced to recant. He was caught again in 1550, but he did not appear at the trial and managed to move to Valtellina. There, along with his colleague and comrade in faith, Cristino dal Botto, he was active in the smuggling of Protestant books into Italy. In the meanwhile, the Inquisition, considering him a *relapso*, ratified a death sentence and even set a bounty against him. Grataroli, however, was already safe in Protestant lands.

In 1552 he settled down in Basel, where he lived for the rest of his life and from which he travelled to Savoy, Germany and Burgundy. For his whole life he stayed in contact with Bullinger, Theodore de Beze and even Calvin (who also consulted with Grataroli about personal health issues). He never embraced the tolerant approach to religion shared by Italian exiles in Basel. And he harshly attacked those who did not approve of Calvin’s condemnation of Servet or, even worse, who embraced radical doctrines themselves. Because of his aggressive defence of Calvinist positions and his continuous polemic against Sebastian Castellio (the author of *De haereticis an sint persequendi*), in late 1567 the academic senate in Basel discussed the possibility of removing him from his professional role at the University. However, Grataroli died on April 16, 1568, before any serious measure could be taken against him.

In Grataroli’s case, this rigid approach to theology goes hand-in-hand with quite an open-minded conception of medicine, as is shown by his *Opuscola* (Basel, 1554), a collection of short “anti-authoritarian” medical treatises meant to supply common people with a guide for self-medicating. Throughout his *Opuscola*, he took into consideration the most advanced issues within the coeval scientific debate. He dealt with mnemotechnical techniques and referred to Vesalius to locate the position
of memory inside the brain; he debated the benefits of wine for human health; he was one of the first sixteenth-century scientists to be concerned with physiognomy (anticipating the work of Giovan Battista Della Porta); and he worked on an edition of astrological and alchemical texts. He also published a work on the causes and the medical treatment of plague; one describing the therapeutic properties of thermal baths; and one advising travellers how to preserve their health during long journeys. Grataroli underscored the supreme methodological value of direct observation in the examination of natural phenomena. Referring to Aristotle and Galen, he claimed that it was pointless to overvalue reason to the detriment of the senses, because “experience is our teacher”; an excellent example of a genuine humanistic spirit that drew inspiration from the teachings of classical figures without passively re-producing their knowledge.

Grataroli’s interest in alchemy is particularly relevant to illustrate the scientific attitude of a heretical physician. One of his most important books is precisely *Verae alchimiae artisque metallicae citra aenigmata doctrina certusque modus* (Basel, 1561), a restored collection of several works on alchemy. Although we have no evidence that Grataroli tied philological work with practical alchemical experimentation, is worth underlining, as Gianna Pomata and Nancy Siraisi put it, that the “tremendous familiarity with ancient texts that were the hallmark of humanist training could be harnessed to the cognitive goals of direct observation so as to complement or even enhance them.” By publishing accurate editions of the most important alchemical books written since antiquity, Grataroli provided his own *h...
religious commitment. According to Grataroli, God has provided men with “pure intellect” so that they can observe and preserve His creation. In particular, alchemy is nothing but a zealous inquiry upon the most hidden parts of nature, and Guigielmo Grataroli believes that God, who “does not talk to anybody,” has precisely chosen him to accomplish this challenging task: unveiling God’s presence within the creation and restoring the ancient knowledge about the “secrets of nature.” He then describes his life, telling the reader about all the difficulties he had to endure in order to achieve the standard of his religious and medical vocation. The certainty of being on the right side of God has always supported him.

In Grataroli’s case, medicine and acceptance of the Reformation strengthen one another to a very strong degree. His Calvinist faith and his confidence in being “predestined” (one of the “Deo amati”) grounded his medical research and shaped it as something through which he could manifest his love for God and His creation. At the same time, as he stated in his work about wine, the good physician fulfills a peculiar role in the divine plan: God has given him scientia and intelligence so that he can be “secretary and partner” of nature. Doctors then seem to occupy a peculiar position in society: blessed by God, they act halfway between Creator and creation. Still, medicine is a difficult science to acquire, and only God can guide the good physician’s knowledge and show him how to operate. There are many who consider themselves doctors, without actually being so. The true physician, the one devoted to both the secrets of nature and the will of God, is extremely rare, and Grataroli thinks he embodies this vocation.

Following this conception, and sometimes referring to the example of the Apostle/physician Luke the Evangelist (a reference for many other physicians who had an interest in theology, including Girolamo Massari), Grataroli dispensed theological knowledge, moral judgements, religious opinions and spiritual advice alongside his medical works. His peculiar self-perception of his professional vocation explains his inclination towards dealing with religion as much as with science, in addition to supporting his particular interest in alchemy, as the special knowledge of the secret part of nature.

“He denied purgatory as a philosopher”: Teofilo Panarelli and his Venetian heretical clique

The case of Teofilo Panarelli, the one which I mentioned in the introduction of this paper, deserves to be added to this short list of case-studies. Panarelli was born in the mid-1530s in Monopoli, in southern Italy, and he was the son of a physician who had an interest in the Reformation. In his father’s house, Panarelli received his first heretical education, along with his brother Agostino and his sister Virginia. Then, in the early 1550s, he had the chance to go deeper into theological questions, namely “in the matter of free will, justification by works and by faith alone,” thanks to the teaching of Bartolomeo Fonzio, whose lessons Panarelli attended after the family moved to Cittadella, close to Padua.

While his father and Bartolomeo Fonzio had instilled in young Panarelli curiosity, or as he said “doubt,” about theological issues, it was in Padua, whilst at university, that he completed his heretical training. Panarelli’s narration allows one to
apprehend the free-thinking atmosphere in which students were immersed while studying at Padua University. It was there that he came into contact with Protestant books for the first time, and there that his “doubts” turned into conscious Protestant belief. Panarelli testified that he used to exchange prohibited books with his classmates, and he used to attend the lessons of the anti-trinitarian jurist Matteo Gribaldi Moła. The fact that a medical student would take part in the classes given by a jurist reveals not only the epistemic fluidity of the borders within humanistic disciplines, but also the intellectual curiosity of young Panarelli. Moreover, it contributes in delineating the existence of a network of dissenters that spread across Padua, Venice and Basel. One should not forget that, in a couple of years, Gribaldi would read Massari’s *Eusebius captivus*. Networking practices resisted the process of confessionalization and guaranteed a continuity of religious interests across the religious divide.

When in Padua, Panarelli would also explain theological issues to his roommate, an illiterate soldier who shared the same religious opinions. When describing these secret meetings, Panarelli said that the soldier used to simply listen to the “reasoning” the students would put forward, while the scholars would “study” Calvin’s and Luther’s texts. Apparently, the step from studying medicine and philosophy, questioning medieval interpretations upon the ancient authors, to applying the same method to the examination of theology was a brief one at Padua.

After university, Doctor Teofilo Panarelli was ready to become a major figure in the Reformation movement. In Venice, where he moved to practice his *ars*, he became the leader of a secret heretical clique, which gathered, among the others, two more physicians: Francesco Pegolotto and Giovanni Gatta. From reading the minutes of Panarelli’s trial we understand that the group used to read and comment on prohibited books, and that the most “expert” members would also discuss theological doctrines, such as the question of the real presence of Christ’s body in the Eucharist, and would not always be able to find a compromise between the different positions they embraced. Moreover, Panarelli did not spare sarcastic quips at Catholic “superstitions,” taking advantage of his medical role to promote non-conformist religious ideas.

Panarelli’s heretical network in late sixteenth-century Republic of Venice involved colleague physicians, booksellers, patricians, humanists and some women (see figure 1).
Figure 1: Panarelli’s heretical network (1550s-early 1570s)
The visualisation (in which the nodes represent the people that Panarelli mentioned as accomplices in his Inquisition trial) gives an idea of the complex nature of Panarelli’s ties. He was in touch with different people, for different reasons, and often a heretical kind of relationship overlapped with a different kind of tie. A heretical relationship could be strengthened moving from a common humanistic background; from the exchange of books; from familial kinship; from a relationship of patronage with a patrician; from a teaching-learning relationship; or from the practice of medicine. The latter was particularly significant in Panarelli’s case, as the high presence of physicians and pharmacists in the network shows. This sort of representation, approximate as it necessarily is, nonetheless has the advantage of highlighting the complexity of what we usually define as heresy, and also reveals important details about the nature of the “heretical network” of a physician. Panarelli’s religious dissent came as a result of, or at least strongly intermingled with, crucial aspects of his profession: his humanistic background, his professional contacts, his social status, his attitude towards books as a learned physician, and so on.

The visualisation also shows that Panarelli’s network was entirely Italian, and it did not gain a European dimension. As I shall show soon, this had consequences for his religious constructs. However, this does not mean that the members of this heretical conventicle did not look at what was happening outside Venice. We have evidence that they paid special attention to the contemporary French political and religious situation, and largely supported the Huguenot cause. Furthermore, we know that during one meeting the group read a letter written from Switzerland by Francesco Betti (a Roman religious exile), which impelled a discussion on the opportunity to flee.

The picture of the network is also revealing from a social point of view. By noting the professions represented in the network, we see that Panarelli and his comrades in faith covered a middle-upper level of the social ladder (we know that Panarelli owned some properties in Venice, Padua and Monopoli), and this was probably why they were not inclined to migrate for religious reasons. The high presence of Venetian patricians is particularly remarkable, because it shows that a learned physician like Panarelli, who had to deal with all sorts of people in his daily medical activity, was able to develop social bonds with the most powerful and influential figures in Venetian society. Social strategy may also explain Panarelli’s behaviour in 1566, when he was summoned by the Inquisition to bear witness in the trial against Dionora Calia (the housekeeper of Panarelli's brother in law Girolamo Ruscelli, at whose house Panarelli was living back then). During the trial, Panarelli ruthlessly accused her of heresy. He probably did not consider Dionora (who in turn had her own ties with radical heretical physicians and quacks, like Marziale Clemente and Antonino Volpe) a member of his more high-ranking network. He was concerned about preserving himself and his wealthy accomplices from a potential Inquisitorial inquiry, so he covered his beliefs by denouncing a lower class ideological ally.

Even if Panarelli had Calvinist leanings, as it emerges from the testimony related to the group's gathering for the celebration of the “Lord's Supper,” his Calvinism differed from Grataroli’s. Panarelli’s religious commitment formed part of
the wider framework of his intellectual commitment. His views were not quite so devoted to Calvin’s dogmas as Grataroli’s had been. At the very least, they did not constitute the primary ground of Panarelli’s faith. Grataroli denounced the Italian exiles who did not agree with the magisterial confession of faith to religious authorities, and being so close to Calvin, he was a strong opponent of nicodemism – Calvin had invented this term in his famous *Excuse à Messieurs les Nicodémites* (1544) to scornfully address those who lived in Roman Catholic countries and, having embraced the Reformation, did not outright quit attending Catholic rituals. On the contrary, Panarelli overtly advocated nicodemism.

Not only did Panarelli maintain in front of the Inquisitors that he had defended the legitimacy of nicodemitic practice, but other witnesses confirmed his adherence to nicodemitic theory. The pharmacist Bernardo Vergolino said that when Panarelli saw he had bought “one of those coins which are minted for Good Friday,” the physician had blamed the pharmacist’s superstition, but nonetheless had added that “since you know the truth, it is fine that you take it for devotion.” This represents an assertion of the irrelevancy of rituals, ceremonies and any exterior manifestations of devotion, which was one of the key points of nicodemism. Since Vergolino “knew the truth,” whether he bought the coin was insignificant. Moreover, Panarelli’s testimony reveals that he embodied another of the nicodemites’ crucial characteristics: the idea of the necessity to carry out gradual propaganda, modulating it according to the level of religious and intellectual awareness listeners might have developed. So, for instance, while working at pharmacies, he would debate delicate theological matters with his colleague Pegolotto, but he would be cautious in front of pharmacists, confining the arguments they discussed to “safe” ones like the clergy’s abuses.

From Panarelli’s testimony also emerges the idea that “discussion” and “reasoning” on theological matters were essential features of the physician’s religious experience, borne of his university education. Panarelli claimed that the validity of his opinions stemmed from his medical-philosophical education, which allowed him to approach theology rationally, exposing Roman superstitions and, for instance, denying Purgatory as philosophically non-coherent. So, if in Grataroli’s case the adherence to the Reformation strengthened his medical commitment, in Panarelli’s it was his religious liveliness that was the result of his medical-philosophical education.

Panarelli’s independent inquiring into theological matters came to an end when Paolo Moscardo, his comrade in faith, was denounced in 1568. Soon after, Panarelli was denounced as well and was sentenced to death in 1572, as a “repentant relapse.” This meant that the harshness of the capital penalty was somehow “softened” by a less painful execution. Having repented and accepted to confess, Panarelli was hung before being burnt. Considering his nicodemitic attitude, it is likely that his repentance was not sincere, but that it was his very last resource in order to avoid the stake. On the other hand, since Panarelli was not a relapse in a strict sense (we lack any evidence that he recanted in the past), the death sentence seems all too violent, and shows that the Roman Church considered his case particularly dangerous and worthy of exemplary punishment.
Speaking about Panarelli’s final days, the last key elements to underline concern his testament.\textsuperscript{76} This is the last will of a desperate man, who had lost all the confidence which, just a couple of months before, had even led him to lampoon the Inquisition’s methods when his torture was taking place.\textsuperscript{77} Aware of the dishonour that resulted from religious crime, he tried to preserve his daughters, Marina and Sarra, at least from the shame of being his natural children. He claimed that everybody must consider them legitimate, despite the fact that he had not regularly married their mother, and he lived with Caterina Gurniera as man and wife. This shows that Panarelli’s religious deviancy was not exclusively theoretical, but that it also took a behavioural shape.

Moreover, desperately attempting to leave some goods to his soon-to-be orphan daughters, Panarelli quotes in the text two engineering projects he had accomplished. One was a hydraulic system whose aim was to “dig canals,” the other was a “vite perenne” (cochlea) he had designed, through which it was possible “to operate mills and to lift very heavy weights.”\textsuperscript{78} Panarelli had developed the latter with the collaboration of a certain Giovanni Antonio Gello, who was in turn arrested by the Inquisition in Monopoli for “having established an academy” with Panarelli. Although evidence shows that this activity as a hydraulic engineer took place in Monopoli (Panarelli’s birthplace in southern Italy, where, apparently, he continued to travel occasionally), it is arguable that these interests and skills were connected to the increasing importance that practical knowledge assumed in Venice (where Panarelli resided), spreading out from the Arsenal and from the artisan shops in the city\textsuperscript{79}. In an age in which academic knowledge still despised the methods of manual labour, Panarelli started to be interested in mechanical arts. As Zilsel put it: “On the whole, the rise of the methods of the manual workers to the ranks of academically trained scholars at the end of the sixteenth century is the decisive event in the genesis of science.”\textsuperscript{80} If we accept this thesis, the significance of Teofilo Panarelli’s contribution to early modern intellectual history is evident.

These projects also testify to the eclecticism of his intellectual activity. Defining himself as a philosopher, Panarelli also worked on engineering projects and carried out his medical practice, while his restless and continuing religious research was leading him straight to a death sentence.

Conclusions

Although the medical profession was particularly exposed, and predisposed, to the reception of the Reformation, it would be misleading to consider the link between therapeutic art and religious non-conformism in terms of a cause-effect relationship.\textsuperscript{81} Not all of the physicians who worked in Italy in the sixteenth century became religious dissidents. Similarly, it would be a mistake to pigeon-hole all the experiences of medical doctors who abandoned Catholicism under the same category of “heretical physicians” (although I use this expression here as a comfortable synthesis). In sixteenth-century Italy the connections between medicine and the Reformation were complex. Some of these dissenters came to scientific activity because of faith, others the reverse, and all brought about peculiar scientific and religious views. The link between religious non-conformism and humanistic medicine
took on many different forms, depending on the intellectual and theological outlook of physicians intrigued by the competing Christianities of their time.

Massari's Calvinism was nuanced by a strongly anti-dogmatic approach, and his involvement in the distribution of Servet's works suggests that he was even inclined toward religious radicalism. It is likely that Massari's interest in Servet's thought was the result of the fluidity between the medical and the religious, which was typical of both the physicians' intellectual experiences. In the case of Guglielmo Grataroli, the Calvinist faith he embraced in Bergamo at the beginning of the 1540s, as a result of his intellectual liveliness and of the dynamism of Italian religious debate, became stronger and more solid when he came into contact with Calvinist auctoritas in Switzerland. On the other hand, Teofilo Panarelli's Calvinism suffered from the progressive closure of Italian religious life.

Indeed, the differences between these Calvinist physicians must be examined in the light of the environment that shaped their experience. The Italian reformed discourse was restricted to a secret circulation. In order to read and discuss Protestant books and doctrines, Panarelli and his comrades in faith had to hide at the Fondaco de' Tedeschi, on small islands like Giudecca or San Giorgio. Or they had to hide in "protected" business places like spezierie, barber shops or book-stores (sometimes run by the very members of the network). The latter were at the same time the city's hotspots for the diffusion of the most relevant European cultural and political news, and sites of knowledge that fostered the nexus between scientific and religious experimentation. This clandestine dimension implied the absence of any religious guiding reference from beyond the Alps, but at the same time it preserved the ability of developing autonomous theological views. Certainly, this isolation decreased the likelihood that the Italian reformed movement would survive. However, it helped to preserve the movement's original character of free, spontaneous search for personal doctrinal solutions. In this process, physicians played a crucial role: in the case of Panarelli, his rational attitude led him to reject those aspects of Catholicism that he considered superstitious and inessential to salvation, and it led him to make a claim for the intellectual freedom of men of culture.

While the geographical context where these physicians worked definitely had an impact on the development of their thought, one should not overvalue this aspect. Both Massari and Grataroli were living in Basel. However, the first was a Hippocratic humanist who found in the same culture of Valla, Erasmus and Servet the reason to be involved in the theological debate: standing up for religious tolerance; while the second explored new fields of research, and, assured of his predestination, kept his hands on his chymia books and his eyes turned towards almighty God.

To conclude, the humanistic study of the classics and the critical-philological approach which were part of medical education, along with the practice of medicine that in itself could lead to the discussion of spiritual matters, provided physicians with a particularly flexible frame of mind. This could develop in singular experiences both while healing the body and the soul. Despite being prosecuted by the Roman Inquisition, non-conformist physicians considered outsiders by their home societies, ended up taking on a special position in the development of sixteenth-century intellectual history.
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